

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,044	12/11/2001	Hans Lundqvist	JM-007 CON	9365	
7590 05/03/2005 NICOLA A. PISANO . LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON AND SCRIPPS 11988 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 200			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			но, из	HO, UYEN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DIEGO, (	-		3731		
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i></i>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/016,044	LUNDQVIST, HANS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be a ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) did will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frote, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	February 2005.				
•=	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 and 27 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir		- Francisco			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to th					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	an priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119	(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:	I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/016,044 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Armini (6,183,409).

### Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1,3-7,9-16, 18, 19, 20-24 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Armini (6,183,409).

Armini disclose a stent comprising a metallic wire mesh as claimed that incorporates a stable atomic element having a neutron capture cross-section greater than 1000 barns, and emits therapeutic radiation only while being exposed to a thermal neutron irradiation.

In regarding to the method as claimed, the steps as claimed are inherently carried out as the Armini' stent being made or used.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 8, 17 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Armini '409.

Although, Armini does not disclose the stable atomic element being chosen from the group as claimed, the stable atomic elements as claimed are known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a stable atomic element as claimed for Armini's stent doing so would amount to mere substitution of one known material for an other within the same art that would perform equally well in Armini's device.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify the Armini's device by having a stable atomic element as claimed instead of the stable

atomic elements as disclosed by Armini, since applicant has not disclose that the specific stable atomic element as claimed solve any state problem or for any particular purpose that is different from the stable atomic elements as disclose by Armini, it appears that both stable atomic elements as claimed and as disclosed by Armini would perform equally well with the stent of Armini reference.

Although, Armini does not disclose the stable atomic element is incorporated into the stent in a nonuniform density, it is well known in the art to have therapeutic material coated on a stent or medical implant in a nonuniform density to vary the therapeutic dose depending on the need of each portion of a treated site. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the stable atomic element onto Armini's stent in a nonuniform density to vary dose obtained for treating a site with vary dose needed.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho whose telephone number is 571-272-4696. The examiner can normally be reached on MULTIFLEX Mon. to Sat...

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANHTUAN NGUYEN can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho

Page 5

**Patent Examiner** Art Unit 3731

April 21, 2005